

## Ergodic Properties of Affine Transformations

NOBUO AOKI AND YUJI ITO\*

*Department of Mathematics, Josai University in Saitama, Japan  
and**Department of Mathematics, Brown University in Providence, Rhode Island, 02912**Submitted by Gian-Carlo Rota*

Received September 25, 1970

Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group. In [9] M. Rajagopalan showed that the group  $G$  must be compact if there exists on  $G$  a group automorphism which is ergodic with respect to the Haar measure. The purpose of this note is to extend this result to show that the group  $G$  must be compact if there exists on  $G$  an affine transformation which is totally ergodic with respect to some Borel measure  $m$  having the property that  $m(U) > 0$  for every non-empty open subset  $U$  of  $G$ . We note that the condition of total ergodicity cannot be replaced by that of ergodicity in this assertion, in general, since a simple example of a translation map  $S$  defined on the discrete group  $Z$  of integers by  $S(n) = n + 1$  gives an ergodic affine transformation on a locally compact, noncompact abelian group. We shall show, however, that if the group  $G$  is connected, then the existence of an ergodic affine transformation already implies that  $G$  must be compact.

We follow [3] and [4] for notions in ergodic theory and measure theory, and [8] for notions in topological groups. In this note we always mean by a group automorphism a *continuous* algebraic isomorphism of the group  $G$  onto  $G$ . We say that a group automorphism  $A$  is *bicontinuous* if the inverse  $A^{-1}$  is also continuous. It is known that a group automorphism of a locally compact abelian group need not be continuous [9]. A transformation  $T$  from  $G$  to  $G$  is called (Borel-) measurable if  $T^{-1}(F)$  is a Borel set whenever  $F$  is. If  $m$  is a Borel measure on  $G$ , a measurable transformation  $T$  is said to be *ergodic with respect to  $m$*  if either  $m(F) = 0$  or  $m(G \sim F) = 0$  hold for any Borel subset  $F$  of  $G$  satisfying  $T^{-1}(F) = F$ .  $T$  is said to be *totally ergodic with respect to  $m$*  if for every integer  $n \neq 0$  the transformation  $T^n$  is ergodic with respect to  $m$ . In this note we shall simply call a transformation  $T$  *ergodic* or *totally ergodic* if  $T$  is ergodic or totally ergodic with respect to *some* Borel measure  $m$ .

\* Research of the second author was supported in part by NSF Grant GP-11966.

having the property that  $m(U) > 0$  for every nonempty open subset  $U$  of  $G$ . It is clear that a group automorphism and a translation map defined by an element of the group are both measurable transformations. It is also known that a group automorphism which is not bicontinuous is not ergodic with respect to the Haar measure [9]. By an *affine transformation* on a locally compact abelian group  $G$ , we mean a transformation  $T$  of the form  $T(x) = a + A(x)$ , where  $a$  is an element of  $G$  and  $A$  is a group automorphism of  $G$ . For any subset  $E$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $[E]$  the smallest *closed* subgroup of  $G$  containing  $E$ . If  $a$  is an element of  $G$ , we simply write  $[a]$  for  $[\{a\}]$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group. If  $T(x) = a + A(x)$  is an affine transformation on  $G$  which is ergodic, then,  $A$ , and therefore  $T$ , must be bicontinuous.*

*Proof.* Since the assertion is obvious if  $G$  is discrete, we assume that  $G$  is not discrete. Let  $V$  be a compact neighborhood of the identity of  $G$  and  $F$  be the group generated by the compact set  $V \cup T(V)$ . Since  $A$  is continuous, the sets  $A^j(F)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  are  $\sigma$ -compact. The subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  generated by  $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} A^j(F)$  is open,  $\sigma$ -compact, and satisfies  $A(H) \subset H$ . If  $A$  is not bicontinuous, we infer from [9] that  $A^{-1}(H)$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact. Since  $a \in T(V) \subset H$ , we see that  $T^{-1}(H) = A^{-1}(-a) + A^{-1}(H) = A^{-1}(H)$ . Hence, if we write  $P = T^{-1}(H) \sim H$ , then  $P$  is a nonempty open and closed subset of  $G$  and is wandering under  $T$  (i.e.,  $T^k(H) \cap T^j(H) = \emptyset$ , whenever  $k \neq j$ ). Furthermore, if  $m$  is a Borel measure with respect to which  $T$  is ergodic, then since  $G$  is not discrete,  $P$  contains a compact subset  $C$  such that  $m(C) > 0$  and  $m(P \sim C) > 0$ . However, this contradicts the ergodicity of  $T$ , since the set  $W = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T^k(C)$  is a Borel subset of  $G$  satisfying  $T^{-1}(W) = W$ ,  $m(W) > 0$  and  $m(G \sim W) \geq m(P \sim C) > 0$ .

We now state our main result.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group. If there exists on  $G$  an affine transformation  $T(x) = a + A(x)$  which is totally ergodic, then  $G$  must be compact.*

Proof of this theorem will be carried out in three steps.

*Step I.* We first consider the case when  $G$  is discrete. In this case, we show that not only is  $G$  compact but, in fact,  $G$  must consist of the identity element  $e$  alone. To prove this, we first note that the ergodicity of  $T$  implies that the set  $\{T^n(e) \mid n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  must coincide with  $G$ . Since  $T^2$  is also ergodic, we also have  $\{T^{2n}(e) \mid n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\} = G$ . Therefore, there should be an integer  $n$  such that  $T(e) = T^{2n}(e)$ , which implies  $T^{2n-1}(e) = e$  with  $2n - 1 \neq 0$ . The ergodicity of  $T^{2n-1}$  now implies that  $G = \{e\}$ .

*Step II.* We now consider the case when  $G$  is totally disconnected but nondiscrete. In this case, there exists an open compact subgroup  $H$ . Define  $H_0 = H$ ,  $H_n = \sum_{j=-n}^n A^j(H)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , then since, by Lemma 1,  $A$  is bicontinuous,  $\{H_n \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  forms an increasing sequence of open compact subgroups of  $G$ . If we define  $H_\infty = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty H_n$ , then  $H_\infty$  is an open subgroup and satisfies  $A(H_\infty) = H_\infty$ . Since  $H_\infty$  is open, the quotient group  $G' = G/H_\infty$  is discrete. Let us denote by  $\pi$  the canonical map  $G \rightarrow G'$  and by  $T'$  the transformation induced on  $G'$  by the equation  $T'(\pi(x)) = \pi(T(x))$ . Since  $H_\infty$  is invariant under  $A$ , we see that  $T'$  defines an affine transformation on  $G'$ . Furthermore,  $T'$  is totally ergodic since if  $m$  is a Borel measure with respect to which  $T$  is totally ergodic, then  $T'$  is totally ergodic with respect to the induced measure  $m' = m \circ \pi^{-1}$ . Since  $G'$  is discrete, we now conclude, as in Step I, that  $G' = \{e'\}$  where  $e'$  is the identity element of  $G'$ . This means that  $G = H_\infty$ . Consequently, there exists a nonnegative integer  $k$  such that  $a \in H_k$ . Since  $H_k$  is a compact subgroup, we conclude that the closed subgroup  $[a]$  is also compact. If we now define  $K = H + [a]$ , then  $K$  will be an open compact subgroup of  $G$  and  $a \in K$ . If we write  $G_n = \sum_{j=-n}^n A^{-j}(K)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\{G_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  forms an increasing sequence of open compact subgroups of  $G$  and if we define  $G_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty G_n$ , then  $G_\infty$  is an open subgroup satisfying  $A^{-1}(G_\infty) \subset G_\infty$ . Since  $a \in K$ , we note that  $A^{-1}(-a) \in A^{-1}(K) \subset G_\infty$ . Therefore,

$$T^{-1}(G_\infty) = A^{-1}(-a) + A^{-1}(G_\infty) \subset G_\infty.$$

Since the open subgroup  $G_\infty$  is closed also, we see that the set

$$P = G_\infty \sim T^{-1}(G_\infty)$$

is an open and closed subset of  $G$  wandering under  $T$ . Since  $G$  is nondiscrete, we conclude, by using the ergodicity of  $T$ , that  $P = \emptyset$ , and therefore,  $G_\infty = T^{-1}(G_\infty)$ . It follows from the ergodicity again that we must have  $G_\infty = G$ . Since  $K$  is compact, we can find a nonnegative integer  $n$  such that  $K \subset G_n$ . It then follows that  $A(G_n) \subset K + G_n = G_n$ . Since  $a \in K$ , we get  $T(G_n) = a + A(G_n) \subset G_n$ . By using the same argument as before, we conclude that  $G_n = G$ , and hence that  $G$  is compact.

*Step III.* We finally consider the case of an arbitrary locally compact abelian group  $G$ . Let  $G_0$  be the component of the identity in  $G$ . Since  $A$  is bicontinuous, we have  $A(G_0) = G_0$ . The quotient group  $G/G_0$  is totally disconnected. Since the total ergodicity of  $T$  implies as before that the induced affine transformation  $T'$  on  $G/G_0$  is also totally ergodic, we conclude, by using Step I or Step II above, that the quotient group  $G/G_0$  must be compact. However, if this is the case, it is known that such a locally compact group can be written as a direct sum  $K \oplus H$ , where  $K$  is the maximal compact sub-

group of  $G$  and  $H$  is a closed subgroup isomorphic to a vector group  $R^a$  of some dimension  $n$ . Since  $K$  is maximal and since  $A$  is bicontinuous, we have  $A(K) = K$ . Therefore,  $T$  induces an affine transformation  $T''$  on the quotient group  $G/K$ , and  $T''$  will be totally ergodic. Since the group  $G/K$  is isomorphic to the group  $II$ , which in turn is isomorphic to  $R^n$ , in order to complete the proof of Step III it suffices to show that if  $n > 0$  there can exist no affine transformation on  $R^n$  which is totally ergodic. We shall, in fact, prove, by induction on the dimension  $n$ , that there can be no ergodic affine transformation on  $R^n$ .

On  $R^1$  any affine transformation  $T_1$  is of the form

$$T_1(x) = a + A_1(x) = a + bx,$$

where  $a, b \in R^1$ . If  $b = 1$ , then  $T_1(x) = a + x$ , which cannot be ergodic since it has an open wandering set (for example, the open interval  $(0, \frac{1}{2}a)$  will be wandering under  $T_1$  if  $a > 0$ ). If, on the other hand,  $b \neq 1$ , then we can find an element  $c \in R^1$  such that  $(1 - b)c = a$ . But then for any  $x \in R^1$ , we get  $T_1(c + x) = a + b(c + x) = c + bx$ , which means that the transformations  $T_1$  and  $A_1$  are related by the identity  $T_1 \circ S_c = S_c \circ A_1$ , where  $S_c$  is the translation map  $S_c(x) = c + x$ . From this it follows, in particular, that the transformation  $T_1$  possesses an open wandering set if and only if  $A_1$  does. Since it is easy to see that if  $b \neq 1$ ,  $A_1(x) = bx$  does have an open wandering set (for example, if  $b > 1$ , then the open interval  $(b, b^2)$  is wandering under  $A_1$ ), we conclude that  $T_1$  cannot be ergodic. This establishes the assertion for the case  $n = 1$ . Now, suppose the assertion were true for  $R^k$ ,  $0 < k \leq n - 1$ , and suppose that  $T_1(x) = a + A_1(x)$  is an affine transformation on  $R^n$ . Consider the map  $\Phi(x) = (I - A_1)(x)$  defined on  $R^n$ , where  $I(x)$  denotes the identity map. Clearly,  $\Phi(R^n)$  is a vector subspace of  $R^n$ . If  $\Phi(R^n) = R^n$ , then there exists an element  $c \in R^n$  such that  $(I - A_1)(c) = a$ . We then obtain as before the relationship  $T_1 \circ S_c = S_c \circ A_1$ . Now, for the automorphism  $A_1$  on  $R^n$  we can construct, as in p. 28 of [4], a nonconstant nonnegative continuous function  $f(x)$  which satisfies

$$f(A_1(x)) = |\det A_1|^2 f(x) \quad \text{for all } x.$$

We now consider two possibilities. If  $|\det A_1| = 1$ , then the function  $g(x)$  defined on  $R^n$  by  $g(x) = f(S_c^{-1}(x))$  is continuous and satisfies  $g(T_1(x)) = g(x)$  for all  $x$ . Therefore,  $T_1$  cannot be ergodic in this case. On the other hand, if  $|\det A_1| \neq 1$ , then it is easy to show that the automorphism  $A_1$  has an open wandering set (for example, if  $\alpha = |\det A_1|^2 > 1$ , then the open set  $\{x \mid \alpha < f(x) < \alpha^2\}$  is wandering under  $A_1$ ). Thus,  $T_1$  also has an open wandering set, and therefore,  $T_1$  cannot be ergodic in this case either. Next, if  $\Phi(R^n) = \{0\}$ , then  $A_1 = I$ , so that  $T_1$  reduces to a translation map

$T_1(x) = a + x$ , which can be easily seen to be nonergodic. Finally, if  $\Phi(R^n)$  is a proper nonzero closed subspace of  $R^n$ , then the quotient group  $R^n/\Phi(R^n)$  is isomorphic to  $R^k$  for some  $k$  with  $0 < k \leq n - 1$ . Since  $\Phi$  and  $A_1$  commute, we have  $A_1(\Phi(R^n)) = \Phi(R^n)$ . Therefore,  $T_1$  induces an affine transformation  $T_1'$  on the quotient group  $R^n/\Phi(R^n)$  and  $T_1'$  will be ergodic if  $T_1$  is. However, by the induction hypothesis, no ergodic affine transformation can exist on  $R^n/\Phi(R^n)$ . Thus we conclude that there can be no ergodic affine transformation on  $R^n$ . This completes the induction and finishes the proof of Step III. Proof of the theorem is now established in all cases.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact connected abelian group. If there exists an ergodic affine transformation  $T(x) = a + A(x)$  on  $G$ , then  $G$  must be compact.*

Note that in view of the simple example quoted in the beginning the hypothesis of connectedness is crucial in the assertion above.

*Proof of Corollary 1.* It is known that a locally compact connected abelian group can be represented as a direct sum  $K \oplus H$ , where  $K$  is the maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  and  $H$  is a closed subgroup isomorphic to a vector group  $R^n$  of some dimension  $n$ . Since the maximality of  $K$  implies  $A(K) = K$ ,  $T$  induces an ergodic affine transformation  $T'$  on the quotient group  $G/K$ . But in Step III of the proof of the theorem above it was shown that if  $n > 0$ , then there can be no ergodic affine transformation on  $R^n$ . Therefore, we must have  $G = K$  and hence  $G$  is compact.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact totally disconnected abelian group. Then an affine transformation  $T(x) = a + A(x)$  on  $G$  is totally ergodic if and only if the automorphism  $A$  is ergodic with respect to the Haar measure of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* One can show as in Step I and II of the proof of the theorem above (but with considerably simpler arguments) that the existence of an ergodic automorphism  $A$  would imply that the group  $G$  must be compact. If  $A$  is ergodic with respect to the Haar measure then the result of F. Hahn [1] implies that the affine transformation  $T$  is strongly mixing, and therefore, is totally ergodic with respect to the Haar measure. Conversely, if  $T$  is totally ergodic, then by the theorem above,  $G$  must be compact. Thus, in order to show that the automorphism  $A$  is ergodic with respect to the Haar measure, it suffices to prove, in view of a theorem by P. Halmos [4], that for every nonconstant continuous character  $f(x)$  on  $G$  there exists no nonzero integer  $n$  for which  $f(A^n(x)) = f(x)$  for all  $x$ . So, suppose that  $f(x)$  is a nonconstant continuous character satisfying  $f(A^n(x)) = f(x)$  for all  $x$  for some nonzero integer  $n$ .

We may assume that  $n > 0$ . Since the group  $G$  is totally disconnected and compact, there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $f^k(x) = 1$  for all  $x$ . Since

$$T^{nk}(x) = a + A(a) + \cdots + A^{nk-1}(a) + A^{nk}(x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(T^{nk}(x)) &= f(A^{nk}(x)) \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{nk-1} f(A^j(a)) \\ &= f(x) \cdot \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} f(A^{jn}(A^p(a))) \\ &= f(x) \cdot \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} f^k(A^p(a)) = f(x), \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $f(x)$  is a nonconstant continuous function invariant under  $T^{nk}$ . This contradicts the total ergodicity of  $T$  and completes the proof.

A homeomorphism  $T$  of a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  onto itself is called *minimal* if no nonempty proper closed subset of  $X$  is invariant under  $T$ .  $T$  is called *totally minimal* if for every nonzero integer  $n$ , the homeomorphism  $T^n$  is minimal. Properties of minimal affine transformations on a compact abelian group have been investigated in [2, 5 and 6]. Our proofs of Lemma 1, Theorem, and Corollary 1 above carry over with minor changes to prove the following:

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group. If there exists on  $G$  a totally minimal affine transformation, then  $G$  must be compact. Furthermore, if  $G$  is connected, then the existence of a minimal affine transformation already implies that  $G$  is compact.*

#### REFERENCES

1. F. HAHN, On affine transformations of compact abelian groups, *Amer. J. Math.* **85** (1963), 428–446.
2. F. HAHN AND W. PARRY, Minimal dynamical systems with quasi-discrete spectrum, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **40** (1965), 309–323.
3. P. R. HALMOS, "Measure Theory," Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
4. P. R. HALMOS, Lectures on ergodic theory, Publication of the Mathematical Society of Japan, No. 3, Tokyo, 1956.
5. A. H. M. HOARE AND W. PARRY, Affine transformations with quasi-discrete spectrum (I), *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **41** (1966), 88–96.
6. A. H. M. HOARE AND W. PARRY, Affine transformations with quasi-discrete spectrum (II), *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **41** (1966), 529–530.
7. D. MONTGOMERY AND L. ZIPPIN, "Topological Transformation Groups," Interscience, New York, 1955.

8. L. PONTYAGIN, "Topological Groups," Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1946.
9. M. RAJAGOPALAN, Ergodic properties of automorphisms of a locally compact group, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (1966), 372-376.
10. M. RAJAGOPALAN, Ergodic properties of automorphisms of a locally compact group II, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (Abstract 667-95), 789.
11. W. RUDIN, "Fourier Analysis on Groups," Interscience, New York, 1962.
12. T. S. WU, Continuous automorphisms on locally compact groups, *Math. Z.* **96** (1967), 256-258.